arXiv:1402.5541v1 [math.GR] 22 Feb 2014

DOUBLE COSET PROBLEM FOR PARABOLIC SUBGROUPS OF BRAID GROUPS

ARKADIUS KALKA, MINA TEICHER AND BOAZ TSABAN

Abstract. We provide the first solution to the double coset problem (DCP) for a large class of natural subgroups of braid groups, namely for all parabolic subgroups which have a connected associated Coxeter graph.

1. Outline

Section 2 recalls basic decision problems, defines the DCP and related problems, and states the main result. The Main Theorem is proven in section 3. Some open questions are discussed in the last section.

2. Double coset problem and other decision problems

Decision problems, like the *word problem*, the *conjugacy problem* and the *subgroup membership problem*, play an important role in combinatorial group theory. The subgroup membership problem, given a subgroup $H \leq G$, decide whether an element $g \in G$ lies in H, is also referred to as *occurence problem*. In braid groups the word problem and the conjugacy problem were solved by Artin [Ar26, Ar47] and Garside [Ga69], respectively. The occurence problem for subgroups of B_n was shown to be unsolvable for $n \geq 5$ in [Ma81]. There, unsolvability was proved by means of a famous theorem of Mikhailova [Mi58, Mi66], which states that a sufficient condition for the unsolvability of the occurrence problem is the presence of a subgroup isomorphic to $F_2 \times F_2$. In [Ak91] it is stated (without proof) that the occurrence problem in B_3 is solvable. Mihailova's sufficient unsolvability criterion fails to work in B_4. Indeed, it is shown in [Ak91] that B_4 does not contain any subgroups isomorphic to $F_2 \times F_2$.

Another important problem is the *double coset problem*.

Definition 2.1. *Let G be a group and (A, B) a pair of proper subgroups of G. The* double coset problem for (A, B) in G *is, given any pair (g, g') in G^2, decide whether g' lies in the double coset AgB, i.e., decide whether there exist elemnts $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $g' = agb$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F36.

Theorem 2.2. *The double coset problem for subgroups of the n-strand braid group is unsolvable for $n \geq 5$.*

Proof. This is a trivial reduction from the subgroup membership problem. An algorithm that solves the DCP for any pair of subgroups of B_n will solve it in particular for $(H, \{1\})$. Let $x \in G$ be our instance element for the occurence problem of H in B_n. Since $x = x \cdot 1 \cdot 1$, our $(H, \{1\})$-DCP oracle provides a solution $(x, 1) \in H \times \{1\}$ to the instance pair $(1, x) \in B_n^2$ if and only if $x \in H$.

The unsolvability result for $n \geq 5$ is a simple corollary from [Mi58]. □

Nevertheless, it is interesting to consider the double coset problem for particular natural subgroups of B_n. Indeed, even the DCP for the natural embedded subgroups $B_m \leq B_n$ for $m < n$, has been an open problem. Our main result is the solution of the DCP for this class of subgroups. We may extend this result to parabolic subgroups of B_n with a connected associated Coxeter graph, in the following sense of Paris [Pa97]:.

Definition 2.3. *A subgroup H of the braid group B_n is called* parabolic with a connected associated Coxeter graph *if it is conjugate to $B_{[k,m]} = \langle \sigma_k, \sigma_{k+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m-1} \rangle$ for some $1 \leq k < m \leq n$.*

Main Theorem 2.4. *Let A, B be parabolic subgroups of B_n with a connected associated Coxeter graph. Then the double coset problem for (A, B) in B_n is solvable.*

We solve the problem by reduction to an instance of the *simultaneous conjugacy problem*. The simultaneous conjugacy problem in braid groups was solved in [LL02]. Nevertheless, the invariant subsets of the simultaneous conjugacy class involved in Lee and Lee's solution [LL02] are relatively big. In [KTV13], we introduce new much smaller invariant subsets of the simultaneous conjugacy class, namely the so-called *Lexicographic Super Summit Sets*.

A central ingredient of our proof is a result on the centralizer of the centralizer of such parabolic subgroups which was proven in [GKLT13].

Main Lemma 2.5. *Let H be parabolic subgroup of B_n with a connected associated Coxeter graph. Then the centralizer of the centralizer of H is given by:*

$$C_{B_n}(C_{B_n}(H)) = \langle \Delta_n^2 \rangle \cdot H.$$

Recall that, for $n \geq 3$, the center $Z(B_n)$ is infinite cyclic, generated by Δ_n^2, where Δ_n denotes the socalled fundamental element.

Remark 2.6. A related problem which lies somehow between the double coset problem and the conjugacy problem is the *subgroup conjugacy problem*. More

precisely, the subgroup conjugacy problem for $H \leq G$ is, given $a, b \in G$, decide whether there exists a $c \in H$ such that $b = c^{-1}ac$.

In [KLT09], the subgroup conjugacy problem for $B_{n-1} \leq B_n$ was transformed to an equivalent bisimultaneous conjugacy problem. Then, in [KLT10], the subgroup conjugacy problem for all parabolic subgroups of braid groups, even for all so-called Garside subgroups [Go07] of Garside groups, was solved completely, and deterministic algorithms were provided. The solution in [KLT10] does not resort to a detour via a simultaneous conjugacy problem. [GKLT13] provides a second solution of the subgroup conjugacy problem for parabolic subgroups of B_n with a connected Coxeter graph. This solution is a generalization of the approach developed in [KLT09], namely we reduce the problem to an instance of a simultaneous conjugacy problem. Though less general, this second solution is of relevance, because it provides possibly smaller invariant subsets, and therefore leads to a more efficient solution. Furthermore, the Main Lemma is proven in [GKLT13], which open the path to the solution of the DCP for these parabolic subgroups of B_n.

3. Proof of Main Theorem

In this section we prove the Main Theorem, i.e., we show a reduction of DCP to simultaneous conjugacy. Our solvability result for DCP (for the considered class of parabolic subgroups) then follows from the solvabilty of simultaneous conjugacy in braid groups. First we transform the parabolic subgroups into some standard form.

We need the following notion. Let $\partial : B_\infty \longrightarrow B_\infty$ be the injective shift homomorphism, defined by $\sigma_i \mapsto \sigma_{i+1}$.

Definition 3.1. *(*[De00, Definition I.4.6.]*) For $n \geq 2$, define $\delta_n = \sigma_{n-1} \cdots \sigma_2 \sigma_1$. For $p, q \geq 1$, we set:*

$$\tau_{p,q} = \delta_{p+1}\partial(\delta_{p+1}) \cdots \partial^{q-1}(\delta_{p+1}),$$

i.e. the strands $p+1, \ldots, p+q$ cross over the strands $1, \ldots, p$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let A, B be parabolic subgroups of B_n with a connected associated Coxeter graph. The (A, B)-DCP-instance (g, g') is solvable if and only if a (B_{m_A}, B_{m_B})-instance (g_1, g_1') is solvable, where $m_A, m_B \in \mathbb{N}$ are solely given by A, B, respectively.*

More precisely, write $B_{[k,l]}$ for the standard parabolic subgroup $\langle \sigma_k, \ldots, \sigma_{l-1} \rangle$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in B_n$ be fixed such that $\alpha^{-1}A\alpha = B_{[k_A,l_A]}$ and $\beta^{-1}B\beta = B_{[k_B,l_B]}$ for some k_A, l_A, k_B, l_B with $k_A < l_A$ and $k_B < l_B$. Then the (A, B)-DCP-instance (g, g') is solvable if and only if the (B_{m_A}, B_{m_B})-instance (g_1, g_1') is solvable, where

$m_A = l_A - k_A + 1$, $m_B = l_B - k_B + 1$, $g_1 = \tau_A \alpha^{-1} g \beta \tau_B^{-1}$ *and* $g_1' = \tau_A \alpha^{-1} g' \beta \tau_B^{-1}$ *for some fixed braids* $\tau_A = \tau_{m_A, k_A - 1}$ *and* $\tau_B = \tau_{m_B, k_B - 1}$.

Proof. Observe that $a \in A$ if and only if $\alpha^{-1} a \alpha \in B_{[k_A, l_A]}$ if and only if $a_1 := \tau_A \alpha^{-1} a \alpha \tau_A^{-1} \in B_{m_A}$. Analogeously, we have $b \in B$ if and only if $b_1 := \tau_B \beta^{-1} b \beta \tau_B^{-1} \in B_{m_B}$. If we write $g' = agb$, then we may also write

$$\begin{aligned} g_1' &= \tau_A \alpha^{-1} g' \beta \tau_B^{-1} = \tau_A \alpha^{-1} (agb) \beta \tau_B^{-1} \\ &= \tau_A \alpha^{-1} a \alpha \tau_A^{-1} (\tau_A \alpha^{-1} g \beta \tau_B^{-1}) \tau_B \beta^{-1} b \beta \tau_B^{-1} = a_1 g_1 b_1. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the (A, B)-DCP-instance (g, g') has solution $(a, b) \in A \times B$ if and only if the (B_{m_A}, B_{m_B})-DCP-instance (g_1, g_1') has solution $(a_1, b_1) \in B_{m_A} \times B_{m_B}$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let A, B be parabolic subgroups of B_n with a connected associated Coxeter graph. The DCP for (A, B) in B_n reduces in polynomial time to a simultanous conjugacy problem.*

More precisely, consider the (A, B)-DCP-instance $(g, g') \in B_n^2$, i.e., decide whether $g' = agb$ for some $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. The centralizers of A and B in B_n are finitely generated by $c_1, \ldots, c_{k_A}$ and $d_1, \ldots, d_{k_B}$ for some $k_A, k_B \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. Then this DCP-instance for (A, B) in B_n can be solved by using the solution (if it exists) of the following simultaneous conjugacy problem (simCP).

$$(*) \begin{cases} c_i &= x c_i x^{-1} & \forall\ i = 1, \ldots, k_A, \\ g' d_j (g')^{-1} &= x (g d_j g^{-1}) x^{-1} & \forall\ j = 1, \ldots, k_B. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The centralizer of a parabolic subgroup of B_n with a connected associated Coxeter graph is finitely generated. It was explicitly computed in [FRZ96]. This result was extended to parabolic subgroups with connected associated Coxeter graph of Artin groups of type B and D in [Pa97].

According to Lemma 3.2 we may assume that $A = B_{m_A}$ and $B = B_{m_B}$ for some $m_A, m_B < n$. If m_A or m_B equals n, then the DCP becomes easy. It amounts to checking membership in a standard parabolic subgroup which can be accomplished, e.g., by means of Thurston normal forms [EC+92].

First, assume that $g' \in AgB$, i.e., the DCP-instance (g, g') has a solution $a \in A$, $b \in B$. Then $a \in A \subset B_n$ solves the simCP-instance (*), because a commutes with all c_i and we have

$$g' d_j (g')^{-1} = (agb) d_j (b^{-1} g^{-1} a^{-1}) = a g d_j g^{-1} a^{-1} \quad \forall\ j = 1, \ldots, k_B.$$

Therefore, our simCP-oracle will return some solution $\tilde{a} \in G = B_n$ on input (*). Since $\tilde{a}$ commutes with all c_i, we conclude that $\tilde{a}$ lies in $C_G(C_G(A)) \overset{\text{MainLemma}}{=} A \cdot Z(G)$. Since $m_A < n$, A is a proper parabolic subgroup of B_n. Therefore, there exists a unique decomposition $\tilde{a} = \Delta_n^{2k} \hat{a}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\hat{a} \in A$.

Also, since

$$ac_ia^{-1} = \tilde{a}c_i\tilde{a}^{-1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\tilde{a}^{-1}a, c_i] = 1 \quad \forall i \leq k_A,$$

we conclude that

$$a^{-1}\tilde{a} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k_A} C_G(c_i) = C_G(C_G(A)) \overset{\text{MainLemma}}{=} A \cdot Z(G).$$

Therefore, we may write

$$\tilde{a} = \Delta_n^{2k} a\bar{a} \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \bar{a} \in A. \tag{1}$$

Note that $\hat{a} = a\bar{a}$. Furthermore, since

$$agd_jg^{-1}a^{-1} = \tilde{a}gd_jg^{-1}\tilde{a}^{-1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [\tilde{a}^{-1}a, gd_ig^{-1}] = 1 \quad \forall j \leq k_B,$$

we conclude that

$$a^{-1}\tilde{a} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k_B} C_G(gd_jg^{-1}) = gC_G(C_G(B))g^{-1} \overset{\text{MainLemma}}{=} gBg^{-1} \cdot Z(G).$$

Therefore, we may also write

$$\tilde{a} = \Delta_n^{2l} ag\bar{b}g^{-1} \quad \text{for some } l \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \bar{b} \in B. \tag{2}$$

Now, define $\hat{b} = g^{-1}\hat{a}^{-1}g'$. Then the pair $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$ solves the (A, B)-DCP instance. Clearly, by definition of $\hat{b}$, we have $\hat{a}g\hat{b} = g'$. It remains to show that $\hat{b} \in B$. A straightforward computation yields

$$\hat{b} = g^{-1}(\Delta_n^{2k}\tilde{a}^{-1})g' \overset{(2)}{=} g^{-1}\Delta_n^{2k}(\Delta_n^{-2l}g\bar{b}^{-1}g^{-1}a^{-1})agb = \Delta_n^{2(k-l)}\bar{b}^{-1}b.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $k = l$. To establish that, we identify the two equations (1), (2) for $\tilde{a}$. We obtain $\Delta_n^{2(k-l)} = g\bar{b}g^{-1}\bar{a}^{-1}$, and conclude by the following Lemma 3.5 that $2(k-l) = 0 \Leftrightarrow k = l$. □

Remark 3.4. Given a proper standard parabolic subgroup H of B_n and an element $a \in Z(B_n) \cdot H$, one may find the unique decomposition $a = \Delta_n^{2q}\hat{a}$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\hat{a} \in H$ as follows. Let η_H be the map that removes all but one strand from H. This trivializes $\hat{a}$ without trivializing the Δ^2-power. More precisely, if we remove $m-1$ strands in H, we get $\eta_H(a) = \Delta_{n-m+1}^{2q}$. Now, one may compute the Garside normal form of $\eta_H(a)$ to determine $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, we get $\hat{a} = \Delta_n^{-2q}a \in H$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let H_1, H_2 be proper parabolic subgroups of the n-strand braid group B_n. Consider elements $h_1 \in H_1$, $h_2 \in H_2$ and $g \in G = B_n$. If $gh_1g^{-1}h_2 = \Delta_n^{2k}$ then $k = 0$.*

Proof. (i) The reduction from parabolic subgroups to standard parabolic subgroups of B_{m_i} $(i = 1, 2)$ is again straightforward. Therefore, and since H_1, H_2 are proper subgroups, we may assume that $H_1 \leq B_{m_1}$ and $H_2 \leq B_{m_2}$ for some $m_1, m_2 < n$. Wlog we may also assume that $m_1 \geq m_2$. If not, we conjugate (from the right) by $h_2^{-1}g$ to obtain instance elements that satisfy that condition.

(ii) Recall that the pure braid group P_n is the kernel of the homomorphism $\nu : B_n \longrightarrow S_n$ that maps each braid to its induced permutation on the strands. Here we consider a right action of the braid group on the set $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ which enumerates the left end points of the strands. If g is a pure braid, or more generally, if $\nu(g)$ maps $[m_1]$ onto itself, then we apply the map (not a homomorphism!) $\eta_{H_1} : B_n \longrightarrow B_{n-m_1}$ which erases (or removes) all but one of the first m_1 strands (labelled by their left end points), say all but strand m_1. The B_n-equation $gh_1g^{-1}h_2 = \Delta_n^{2k}$ transforms to the following identity in B_{n-m_1}.

$$\eta_{H_1}(g) \cdot 1 \cdot \eta_{H_1}(g^{-1}) \cdot 1 = 1 = \Delta_{n-m_1+1}^{2k}.$$

Since $m_1 < n$ which imples $n - m_1 + 1 \geq 2$, we conclude from $\Delta_{n-m_1+1 \geq 2} \neq 1$ that $k = 0$. Note that, since $\Delta_1 = 1$, we removed all but one strand from B_{m_1} (which affects the case $m_1 = n - 1$).

(iii) Now, assume there exists an $i \in [m_1]$ such that $\nu(g)(i) =: \bar{j} \geq m_1+1$. Then there also exists a $j \geq m_1+1$ such that $\nu(g)(j) =: \bar{i} \in [m_1]$. Since $gh_1g^{-1}h_2 = \Delta_n^{2k}$ is pure, we have $\nu(gh_1g^{-1}h_2)(j) = j$. From $m_2 \leq m_1 < j$ and $h_2 \in B_{m_2}$ we get $\nu(gh_1g^{-1})(j) = j$. And $\nu(gh_1g^{-1})(j) = j$ and $\nu(g)(j) = \bar{i}$ implies $\nu(h_1)(\bar{i})$.

Now, we may evaluate the algebraic crossing number of the strand pair (i, j) in the braid $gh_1g^{-1}h_2 = \Delta_n^{2k}$.

$$\begin{aligned} cr(gh_1g^{-1}h_2)(i,j) &= cr(g)(i,j) + cr(h_1)(\bar{j},\bar{i}) + cr(g^{-1})(\bar{j},\bar{i}) + cr(h_2)(i,j) \\ &= cr(g)(i,j) + 0 - cr(g)(i,j) + 0 = 0 = cr(\Delta_n^{2k})(i,j) = 2k. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Open problems

We list possibilities for further work, generalizations and some open questions.

- Extend the Main Theorem to all parabolic subgroups. This relies solely on an extension of the Main Lemma [GKLT13] to all parabolic subgroups of B_n - not only those with connected associated Coxeter graph.
- Extend the Main Theorem to parabolic subgroups of Artin groups of type B and D. To accomplish that one has to algebraize our proof which still relies on some geometric ideas notions like erasing strands and crossing number. This remark also applies to the Main Lemma.

- According to [Ak91] the occurence problem is solvable for subgroups of B_3. Is the double coset problem also solvable for *all* subgroup pairs of B_3?
- Can one establish also an unsolvability (or hardness) result for the occurence problem and the DCP for subgroups of B_4?
- Is it possible to reduce the occurence problem to the subgroup conjugacy problem? This would establish the unsolvability of the subgroup conjugacy problem for B_n, $n \geq 5$.

Acknowledgements. The first author acknowledges financial support by the Minerva Foundation of Germany. Parts of the paper were written up during a visit of the first author at University of Queensland, Brisbane.

The second author acknowledges financial support by The Oswald Veblen Fund.

References

[Ak91] A. M. Akimenkov, *Subgroups of the braid group B_4*, Mat. Zametki [Math. Notes], **50**, No. 6, 3-13 (1991).

[Ar26] Emil Artin, *Theorie der Zöpfe*, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Hamburgischen Universität **4** (1926), 47-72.

[Ar47] Emil Artin, *Theory of braids*, Annals of Math. (2) **48** (1947), 101-126.

[De00] Patrick Dehornoy, *Braids and Self-Distributivity*, Progress in Math. **192** Birkhäuser (2000).

[EC+92] David B. A. Epstein, James W. Cannon, Derek F. Holt, Silvio V. F. Levy, Michael S. Paterson and William P. Thurston, *Word processing in groups*, Jones and Bartlett (1992).

[FRZ96] R. Fenn, D. Rolfsen and J. Zhu, *Centralizers in the braid group and singular braid monoid*, L'Enseignement Math. **42** (1996), 75-96.

[Ga69] Frank A. Garside, *The braid group and other groups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **20** (1969), 235-254.

[Go07] E. Godelle, *Parabolic subgroups of Garside groups*, J. Alg. **317** (2007), 1–16.

[GKLT13] D. Garber, A. Kalka, E. Liberman and M. Teicher, *Centralizers of Centralizers of Parabolic Subgroups of Braid Groups*, submitted to Journal of Algebra, preprint (2013): `http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.0936`

[KLT09] Arkadius Kalka, Eran Liberman, and Mina Teicher , *A Note on the Shifted Conjugacy Problem in Braid Groups*, Groups - Complexity - Cryptology **1** (2) (2009), 227-230.

[KLT10] Arkadius Kalka, Eran Liberman, and Mina Teicher, *Solution to the subgroup conjugacy problem for Garside subgroups of Garside groups*, Groups – Complexity – Cryptology, Volume **2**, no. 2 (2010), 157-174.

[KTV13] Arkadius Kalka, Boaz Tsaban and Gary Vinokur, *Simultaneous conjugacy problem for Garside groups*, in preparation.

[LL02] Sang Jin Lee and Eonkyung Lee, *Potential weaknesses in the commutator key agreement protocol based on braid groups*, Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2002, LNCS **2332**, Springer (2002).

[Ma81] T. A. Makanina, *On the occurrence problem in the braid group B_{n+1}, $n+1 \geq 5$*, Mat. Zametki, **29**, No. 1 (1981).

[Mi58] K. A. Mihailova, *The occurrence problem for direct products of groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **119** (1958), 1103–1105. (Russian)

[Mi66] K. A. Mikhailova, *The occurrence problem for direct products of groups*, Mat. Sb. [Math. USSR-Sb.], **70**, No. 2 (1966), 241-251.

[Pa97] L. Paris, *Centralizers of parabolic subgroups of Artin groups of type A_l, B_l and D_l*, J. Alg. **196** (1997), 400–435.

Department of Mathematics, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel
E-mail address: Arkadius.Kalka@rub.de, teicher@math.biu.ac.il, tsaban@math.biu.ac.il
URL: http://homepage.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/arkadius.kalka/